

http://www.rbduncan.com/contact.html

These books & papers brought to you free by MAGPUL INDUSTRIES

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ctPyeNZqFho

Over 4 Decades of Books, Papers and Thoughts of

Daniel P. Fitzpatrick, Jr.

who has not only written some top notch books

but also has pulled together

--- as MAGPUL sees ---

a great number of scientific facts that show us a universe almost no one has even considered.

If **Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.** is right then we are in a steady-state universe with Einstein's cosmological constant **repulsive force**, holding everything apart, and we would not perceive the difference between this and an <u>accelerating</u>, expanding universe.

If there is no perceived difference between gravity and <u>acceleration</u> then there is no perceived difference between the two abovementioned universes either!

"If the principle of equivalence holds for gravity, then it also holds for gravity's equal but opposite **repulsive force** and gravity becomes a **bi-polar** force like the other fundamental forces." **Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.**

"Most of us often encounter **the <u>truth</u>** but we usually pick ourselves up and pretend it did not happen." (Winston Churchill)

"The **de Broglie wavelength formula** shows us the <u>blue</u> shift in the microcosm. Looking inward (microcosm) everyone agrees this is caused by a **force** (momentum).

No one has ever claimed this was evidence of **contraction** in the microcosm.

If this analogy is good looking inward to the microcosm then why isn't it good looking outward to the macrocosm?

Therefore: the <u>red</u> shift is not evidence of an **expansion** but of a **force**. It's evidence of gravity's equal but opposite **repulsive force**. It's evidence of Einstein's Cosmological Constant." **Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.**

Everything here is absolutely FREE

And these below are only a small part of the writings of <u>D.P.Fitz Jr.</u> that we've found and placed here so far.

Please bear with us: More will be added as they are located and permission obtained to be added to this **free** page.

Therefore this page - paid for by **MAGPUL INDUSTRIES** - is still under construction.

Click links below for things you want:

You'll find most links duplicated in Adobe.pdf

(For instance, here's this page duplicated in Adobe.pdf)

http://www.rbduncan.com/4.decades.pdf

Website is at http://www.rbduncan.com

Another older website carrying Fitzpatrick's works FREE is: http://www.rbduncan.com

Here's a book you can all watch **as it's being written**: http://www.rbduncan.com/travel.htm

<u>Fitzpatrick's First Book</u> There was a <u>full</u> page in the New York Times devoted exclusively about this book on June 18th 1967.

"Fitzpatrick's First Book" also in Adobe.pdf - pge1.pdf



Burdines, Bambergers & the **WOR** Radio Station in 1922

June 14th 2020

In 1922 (almost a hundred years ago)

http://amperefitz.com/burdines.htm

http://amperefitz.com/burdines.doc

http://amperefitz.com/burdines.pdf

'Business as usual' *after* this virus? May 29th 2020

it's War

http://amperefitz.com/itsWar.htm

http://amperefitz.com/itsWar.doc

http://amperefitz.com/itsWar.pdf

NEW! February 9th 2020

Einstein's Unified Field Theory

Einstein's Theory SOLVED!

Einstein's Theory SOLVED! in Word.doc

Einstein's Theory SOLVED! in Adobe.pdf

December 8th 2019

Only one last page

Only one last page

one last page in Word.doc

one last page in Adobe.pdf

Even Einstein didn't know how close he was to the answer of his Unified Field Theory when he wrote the following.

"I consider it quite possible that physics cannot be based on the field concept, i.e., on continuous structures. In that case, nothing remains of my entire castle in the air, gravitation theory included, [and of] the rest of modern physics."

- Albert Einstein

Now in retrospect here's what we see.

Einstein was quite right when he wrote that *above* in 1954, about a year before he died.

Einstein's teacher, Hermann Minkowski, had already come up with the correct assessment of spacetime and the spacetime interval.

When we look through the Hubble telescope through space, then we are also looking back through time, so it's really **spacetime**. And IMPORTANT — Einstein saw this **spacetime** was <u>also</u> a repulsive force.

Einstein had seen that Minkowski's spacetime was also related to his (Einstein's) 'Cosmological Constant repulsive force', that Einstein knew, and we now know hold all these 5 BASIC spinning things apart in both microcosm and macrocosm, i.e. quarks, electrons, stars, galaxies and superclusters of galaxies.

Einstein, saw modern physics was wrong, and should have seen that all he needed was a simple phase law (relative motion law), because that is ALL that really exists in this totally spinning macrocosm.

That's really **ALL** that exists in this spinning microcosm too. What I didn't know at the time was that many others had put forth **relative motion theories** that were all promptly squelched by physicist Robert H. Dicke who claimed gravity could **not** be caused by **relative motion** because if it was, then we would see evidence of gravitational interference fringes in our largest telescopes. Since we do, in fact, NOW see these gravitational interference fringes in the Hubble telescope, then this, more than anything else tells us that **relative motion MUST** be the cause of <u>all</u> gravitational type attractive forces: **the very OPPOSITE** of spacetime repulsive forces.

More than half a century ago there was a good article, in *Scientific American* about Ampère's **1823** Long Wire Law that made me re-think — and suspect even more — everything I had learned in electronics.

In **1823**, André M. Ampère took two batteries and connected each to a long wire, with both wires parallel to each other. When the current went the <u>same direction</u> (in-

phase) through both wires, the wires attracted. When Ampère reversed one of the batteries and the current went through the wires in opposite directions (out-of-phase), then the wires repelled each other.

The unit of electrical current, the Amp, was named after Ampère for this <u>simple</u> discovery in **1823** — relating the FORCE **directly** and **SIMPLY** to the **movement** (current) producing it.

This fundamental <u>basic</u> **simplicity** of Ampère's **1823** Law — using **NO** plus or minus charges, or north and south magnetic poles — is now totally obscured by the more complicated math and rules of the Faraday-Maxwell field theory, coming half a century after Ampère, that <u>must</u> use **imaginary** plus and minus charges and north and south poles.

We have electrons all spinning at the same EXACT frequency. They have two choices: They can either **spin or move** in-phase with each other or **spin or move** out-of-phase with each other. This is where Ampère lucked out. Ampère didn't know about their spin but he made an **1823** law about their movements showing PARALLEL MOVEMENTS (FLOWS), of electrons, IN THE SAME DIRECTION (in-phase) ATTRACT EACH OTHER.

-and-

PARALLEL FLOWS, of electrons. IN OPPOSITE DIRECTIONS (out-of-phase) REPEL EACH OTHER. Ampère's 1823 Law.

Phase Symmetry attraction is simple:

Quantum coupling (binding energy) is a spin up & spin down electron with their closest sides in-phase, while orientation changes quanta sizes. These can be close (magnetism) or distant, thereby producing waves (light, radio etc.).

Superposition has far, far more binding energy because both electrons are spinning the same direction on the same spin axis, keeping BOTH ENTIRE electrons in-phase with each other. This type quantum binding has ONE size, and can be close (magnetism) or distant, but this type energy is not a general wave producer.

THINGS in-phase ATTRACT
—and—
THINGS out-of-phase REPEL.

This LAW replaces modern physics !!!

And the country that develops this Phase Symmetry framework first wins

And (what Ampère didn't know) electrons & every other

spinning entity from quarks to galactic superclusters whose CLOSEST SIDES MOVE IN THE SAME DIRECTION (in-phase) will ATTRACT each other.

—and—

All spinning entities whose CLOSEST SIDES MOVE in OPPOSITE DIRECTIONS to each other (out-of-phase) will REPEL each other, also is Ampère's 1823 Law.

The Marie in André-Marie came from Ampère's mother's name: At that time in France it was a common practice to denote the mother in the child's name.

Ampère gave us this concept that things **in phase** always attract — *entanglement* — and things **out of phase** always repel.

He gave us this concept using relative motion rather than phase but it's the same thing really if you analyze it. Use relative motion in your own spacetime realm or lower frequency realms and use phase in higher frequency spacetime realms.

Simply use whichever method makes it clearer to you.

We've shown, in the prelude, that even Albert Einstein — a year before he died — considered the concept of fields to be a <u>bad</u> concept.

Yet most items on the internet will show magnetic **fields** being associated with what Ampère discovered. **Forget FIELDS**: **Ampère's 1823 long wire discovery** had nothing in it about magnetic fields. **Forget** his later laws incorporating magnetism in 1827.

Field theory was mainly England's great gift to us. Today's enhanced **field concept** came from Faraday and Maxwell, and as Einstein shows us, it turned out to be a **bad mistake**.

<u>Field</u> theory may explain repulsive force space, but it blinds us to the TRUE attractive forces that are **always** inphase, quantum entanglements. One example is Newton's gravitational <u>field</u> concept that blinds us and prevents us from seeing the TRUE cause of Dark Matter.

Ampère didn't know about electrons but he did know something in his wires were moving so he gave us a system of laws that have <u>nothing</u> to do with MAGNETIC fields.

This <u>below</u> essentially is what Ampère said about long parallel wires in 1823:

- 1. Long parallel wires having things in them moving the same direction caused the wires to attract.
- 2. But if things in one wire moved one way and in the other parallel wire they moved the opposite way then this caused the wires to repel.

Then he gave us a bit of math for various angles if the wires — in which these things above were moving — were not exactly parallel.

And this gives us by far our best observance at how those things inside the wires — *electrons* — are behaving in relation to one another. This tells us essentially the idea of plus and minus **charge** is **wrong** because these electrons do

not **always repel** each other. Regularly, like in Ampere's long wires, they attract each other.

In **all** cases, <u>phase</u> is a better concept to use than <u>charge</u> (positive ions and negative electrons).

Absolutely correct in **all** cases, Ampère's <u>phase</u> concept also shows you which way the electron spins. When you see the much more highly complicated Faraday-Maxwell concept doesn't, then it's simple to know which concept to use.

Ampere didn't know these things as electrons but now we think we know a bit more about them.

These are essentially Ampère's Relative Motion Laws: <u>Ampere's Laws http://www.rbduncan.com/Ampere</u>

Or Aufbau Laws http://www.rbduncan.com/aufbaulaws.htm

Of http://www.rbduncan.com/theALaws.htm http://www.rbduncan.com/theALaws.htm http://www.rbduncan.com/theALaws.htm

Of Relative Motion Law http://www.amperefitz.com/lawrm.htm

Of Gold Universal particle relative motion law http://www.amperefitz.com/plawrm.htm

These are also **phase laws** with which all the forces can be unified: http://www.amperefitz.com/aphaseuniverse.htm.

Why only a few of us see this today, is something that I still can't figure out!

I began to see this *simple* **relative motion law** in the early 1940s when my father bought, and let me use his 20,000 ohms per volt, volt-ohmmeter, and this **relative motion** concept really grew more intense, in my mind, in the mid 1940s when my father and I went halves in buying a war

surplus Sherman Tank radio transmitter-receiver, for \$79.95 from Gimbals Department Store in New York, and got it working by using two car batteries to give us 12 volts to drive the units' power supply generators. These two batteries we charged with a rectified & filtered 2 amps, using a war surplus 12 volt 'rectifilter', which supplied enough current to recharge the batteries but had not quite enough current capacity to run the transmitter-receivers' power supply generators directly by itself.

I had assembled a pretty good picture of how a *simple* relative motion law was working in the microcosm by 1965, while working for Pan American Airlines, in the Radio Department, using my U.S. 1st Class Radio License with RADAR Endorsement #P1-7-4087.

This meant reverting back to Ampère's *simple* ORIGINAL relative motion law of 1823, and disregarding ALL later laws using fields & charges, which even includes Ampère's later laws.

It was **crystal clear** to me then, that there was <u>only</u> **ONE** *simple* **relative motion rule** for **ALL** these forces in our universe. In fact, I was solving more radio problems using that one rule than using all the garbage beliefs of charge, magnetism and field theory, that I knew by then could not possibly exist. In fact, they obscure us in seeing the actual attractive and repulsive forces.

I wrote a 64 page book about this *simple* **relative motion** law in 1966. *Fitzpatrick's First Book (Click Link)* There was a **full page** about it on page 29 of the June 18, 1967 Sunday, New York Times Book Review section.

In my 87th year on this earth, I've managed to convince quite a few people, around the world, that this is what is really happening, but **it's hard to change established religious beliefs**, and that's exactly what today's modern physics is. Even Einstein saw that in 1954.

While we cannot obtain a Unified Field Theory, we can obtain a *working* **relative motion law** by substituting speed for voltage and mass for current in Ampère's Law. We now have the computing capacity to give ourselves a *working* **relative motion law.** This may sound impossible but this actually can be done today. I've done all I could putting many of its foundation stones in place. See

http://www.rbduncan.com and also read 4 decades of my papers FREE by clicking

45 Years of Putting this Jigsaw Puzzle together - of unifying Gravity with all the other forces

Science will make one huge quantum leap once this is done.

Here's how it's done

When you are measuring amps, you are really measuring the **quantity** of electrons passing your measuring point. In the macrocosm you use the same **amount** of energy, passing your measuring point, with its force falling off at the 'square of the distance' just the same as in Ampère's original 1823 Law.

The problem comes with voltage. We see it as <u>pressure</u>. However, we can't measure **pressure** in the macrocosm, but I've realized for years that we are not measuring the

pressure of electrons. We are measuring the SPEED of those electrons and calling it voltage.

SPEED is something we certainly can measure in the macrocosm.

So, what does this tell you?

It tells you the answer Einstein was trying to find with his Unified Field Theory — and with SIMPLER MATH too.

What we are unifying are <u>ALL</u> the FORCES. We are unifying <u>ALL</u> the attractive and repulsive forces in this universe using Ampère's *simple* ORIGINAL relative motion law of 1823.

What can't be unified are the spacetime realms produced by the different frequency spins of spinning quarks, electrons, stars, galaxies and galactic super-clusters: their spins are all at a different frequency. THEY ARE ALL DIFFERENT, the same as radio frequencies (radio stations) are all different.

Our very concepts of LARGE & *small* are derived from a frequency, resonance world we know nothing about.

The quark spins at a resonance of AT LEAST 20 billion times FASTER than the electron, yet this super-high resonance attracts the electron & builds molecules AND gives us our concepts of LARGE & *small*.

We see these faster spinning things (higher spin frequencies) as *small*, and the slower spinning things (lower spin frequencies) as LARGE.

Even though this seems incomprehensible, it's a fact! And you will have UNIFICATION now because as you start using Ampère's Law for all this, then you will understand EXACTLY WHAT CAUSES SPACE & TIME (spacetime).

This is something you don't know now.

We have many spacetime realms but 5 BASIC spin frequency spacetime realms: quark, electron, star, galaxy and galactic super-cluster.

The electron is the only one of those above 5 spinning entities that has the same EXACT spin frequency for all electrons, making the *same EXACT spacetime realm* for all electrons.

Each of the above 5 BASIC spinning entities — *spinning in all directions, mostly out-of-phase with each other* — are producing — *repulsive force,* — *holding themselves far, far apart*, and producing different spacetime realms (different space and time) at different spin frequencies.

These are the only 5 BASIC spinning entities we know about, but **MODERN PHYSICS**, unfortunately, allows infinitely larger accumulations than galactic super-clusters and infinitely smaller building blocks than quarks.

Einstein had put <u>most</u> of this picture of our universe together when he warned us about modern physics in 1954.

WE ARE IN A FREQUENCY UNIVERSE — not only in the microcosm — BUT ALL THROUGHOUT — hard even to imagine!

Make no mistake about that!

We have limits in our spacetime realm. But does this spin frequency universe have a limit in spin frequencies either higher or lower? Does this universe have a limit of these spinning entities being too small or too large?

Ampère's *simple* **relative motion law** of 1823 solves that problem as well: IT GIVES US A LIMITED UNIVERSE! WHY?

Because it shows us conclusively that this is a universe that is FOLDED BACK ON ITSELF!

Because gravity, and inertia, acting at least 20 billion times FASTER than the speed of light shows us the vast distance that the quark spin is effective.

Then how much more effective is a smaller, **even** <u>higher</u> **frequency**, FASTER SPINNING building block particle of the quark going to be? It's effective distance will extend even further than the quark's spin frequency!

This is **how** this UNIVERSE GETS FOLDED BACK ON ITSELF!

This answers one of our biggest science/physics problems: it gets FOLDED BACK because the higher quark and **faster**, SMALLER, *shorter* spin frequencies can <u>penetrate</u> the LARGE amount of space produced by the **slower** spinning galaxies & galactic super-clusters whose slow spin frequencies produce LARGER, *longer* waves that, in turn, PRODUCE — *less time* — but SO MUCH more SPACE!

Some similar entity exists between spinning electrons as it does between spinning galaxies: it's simply the RATIO of space to time in each that is DIFFERENT!

There is more TIME than SPACE between electrons than there is between galaxies, but SOME ENTITY between these different spacetime gauges is giving this ENTIRE spinning UNIVERSE a certain BALANCE.

- 1. Now we must ask ourselves an important question: If we are, indeed, in such a frequency universe as this, then could our concepts of **large** and **small** be WAVELENGTH concepts? Faster spinning, higher frequency (smaller WAVELENGTH) spinning entities seem to be smaller, and slower spinning, lower frequency (larger WAVELENGTH) spinning entities seem to be larger.
- 2. Could our two concepts of **space** and **time** be erroneous concepts? Relativity scientists see this repulsive force as **ONE** thing, i. e. (Einstein's Cosmological Constant), or Minkowski's spacetime.

I've been asking myself those two questions (in the above paragraphs 1. and 2.) for a good many years now.

I've made considerable progress in answering these two questions in paragraphs 1. and 2. in the following links below.

Last, but not least, we solve even **more** of Niels Bohr's Complementarity Problem, because we see how an electron, from the quark's spacetime realm view, might look somewhat like our galaxy.

Precession, with each revolution — **over a long period of time** — results in a perfectly round PARTICLE or Dr. Milo Wolff's spinning, SCALAR, standing wave.

Therefore, a **tremendously** <u>longer</u> period of <u>TIME</u> (*RATE-of-CHANGE* caused by spin frequencies) must exist between quarks, electrons, stars, galaxies & superclusters of galaxies for this universe to be stable.

We only know the binding speed of two of these: electrons bind together at the speed of light, and quarks bind together at, *or more than*, 20 billion times the speed of light (2x10^{10c}). *vanFlandern*

Quark (gravitational) radiation is also at, or more than $2x10^{10c}$ in **velocity**, and in a similar neighborhood of $2x10^{10}$, of the electron, in energy and <u>higher</u> frequencies.

A subtantial amount of quark radiation, in supernovae, results in a substantial amount of electron (light) radiation: in a hypernova — *and in beams of Quasar energy* — it's probably more like 99.x% of quark radiation to the resulting amount of electron radiation given off.

All of these spinning entities, in our universe seek stability. Perfect stability would be achieved when the binding energy to the surroundings equaled the binding energy inside the spinning entity (PARTICLE) itself.

Future super-computers will prove, beyond a shadow of a doubt, that stability is achieved in these different space/motion ratios (gauges) when — a similar balancing entity — exists between <u>all</u> these spinning entities from quarks to super clusters, <u>and</u> this SIMILAR or NEAR

EQUALITY — of matching internal binding energy with BALANCED inertial binding to the surroundings — is of supreme importance!

Today, this <u>balancing</u> method, in the SPACE between these different gauges — IS THE ONLY THING — that <u>IS</u> quantified (throughout our entire universe) without fixing the gauge!

"Gauge invariance" can only mean ONE THING: area that has the SAME space/time (space/motion) RATIO.

Relativity, *or relationship*, of spin frequencies becomes of supreme importance.

Now — <u>between</u> the spacetime realms of the electron and stars — we insert another **molecular** spacetime realm, with a similar spacetime interval as all the others: unfortunately, for modern physics, their building block model has to change to a spinning, orbiting model such as we see in the macrocosm.

This is correct, and as Stephen Wolfram has warned us — we need a MODEL for this BEFORE WE DO ANY MATH — yet modern physics has established itself as a true religion when it is only a mixture of mathematical rules that seem to work OK in our particular subset space/time gauge. A few of us have now given you the foundation stones for the correct BALANCED spin-orbit MODEL that really works all throughout this universe. Stay on this track.

We wouldn't even be here if this universe was perfectly balanced: fission and fusion energy results from our

microcosm seeking better stability via **IMPROVED BALANCING** by converting both larger and smaller elements into iron or elements closer to iron.

Atomic power stems from a *drastic* space/time RATIO change: here's the best non-mathematical explanation.

If the term "gauge" refers to a specific space/motion ratio, then the hydrogen bomb is stronger than the old atomic fission bomb because the gauge change to our gauge is more severe: the uranium or heavier element electrons involved are going far slower around the nucleus — *closer to our gauge* — than the inner hydrogen electrons.

Now you have some TRUE facts and the **WHY** for the Big Bang.

Thanks for reading this.

Electricians and radio people understand the importance of PHASE in regard to FORCE. I guess it was beneath the dignity of all the theoretical physicists, so far, to even consider the PHASE aspect of any unified force theory.

And many sought to unify spacetime realms that simply can't be unified. Einstein was so close! If he had worked in early radio, instead of the Swiss Patent Office, would he have gotten it? It's an incredible story: Einstein completed 99% of what was needed but missed unification by a hair.

Daniel P. Fitzpatrick Jr.

I cannot teach anybody anything. I can only make them think.

(Click a Scalar link below for # 1. Answer.

SCALAR in htm: - http://rbduncan.com/scalar.htm

Also, **SCALAR** in Word: - http://rbduncan.com/scalar.doc

And **SCALAR** in Adobe pdf: - http://rbduncan.com/scalar.pdf

Without this new knowledge of Ampère's simple relative motion law of 1823, modern physics has become so dysfunctional that it cannot tell us what causes Dark Matter. Fixing that dysfunction is the challenge at hand. Change begins with understanding, and I wrote WIMPs to provide some. It also partially answers the question in paragraph 2., giving you a good idea of what's really going on.

(Click a WIMPs link below.)

WIMPs in html: - http://rbduncan.com/WIMPs.html

Also, WIMPs in Word: - http://rbduncan.com/WIMPs.doc

And WIMPs in Adobe pdf: - http://rbduncan.com/WIMPs.pdf

April 30 2019

343 years ago Roemer gave us a good, approximate SPEED OF LIGHT. He saw an anomaly of about fifteen minutes existed between the orbiting times of Jupiter's moons — shifting back and forth — when Earth was nearest and furthest from Jupiter. Roemer published the fact, in 1676, that light from the sun took **half** of this fifteen minute or so interval to get to our Earth.

Newton took this knowledge, and with his invention of calculus plus a tremendous amount of more effort, published in 1687, the phenomenal fact that the speed of gravitational attraction had to be instantaneous.

NASA — before the moon shot — re-checked and found the speed of gravity — was either Newton's instantly or **at least** 20 billion times the speed of light (2x10^{10c}). <u>Van</u> Flandern

I have shown that the <u>particle</u> giving us Earth's gravity and inertia must be spinning **at least** 20 billion times (2x10¹⁰) faster than the electron. That <u>particle</u> is the quark, and strong force containment is nearly correct: it is 99.9999% right. It is the .0001% balance of quarks that are not contained that give us both gravity and inertia. http://rbduncan.com/scalar.cpyR.pdf

If you want to learn more, then read **SCALAR**, which gives us the SCALAR concept of **NASA** scientist Dr. Milo Wolff, who helped get us to the moon: quarks, electrons, planets,

stars, galaxies and super clusters (of galaxies) are all Wolff's SCALAR resonances.

I **crossed out two** we don't see as SCALAR. We see galaxies as flat and *frozen in time*. We fail to see galaxies and super-clusters as spherical type SCALAR entities because the time-span of humans is far too short to witness the complete cycle of gyro precessing that will eventually turn galaxies and super-clusters into more spherical type SCALAR entities.

Most do not yet see that **all** of these SCALAR spinning entities essentially behave the same way in each of their own different spin frequency spacetime realms, but they do, and this is the big science revelation. It drastically changes and SIMPLIFIES modern physics!

SCALAR in htm: - http://rbduncan.com/scalar.htm

Also, **SCALAR** in Word: - <u>http://rbduncan.com/scalar.doc</u>

And SCALAR in Adobe pdf: - http://rbduncan.com/scalar.pdf

SCALAR, proves Einstein was right in his 1954 warning to us about field theory and **modern physics** when he said, "I consider it quite possible that physics cannot be based on the field concept, i.e., on continuous structures. In that case, nothing remains of my entire castle in the air, gravitation theory included, [and of] the rest of **modern physics**."

You will see Wolff's SCALAR concept is the very antithesis of spacetime, giving us a simplification of our entire universe that today's science can't.

If any of your work seems to correlate to my findings then please write to me at:

Daniel P. Fitzpatrick Apt. 329

Belmont Village

4310 Bee Cave Road

West Lake Hills, TX 78746

Send me your e-mail.

April 30 2019 - SCALAR - U. S. Govt. Copyright version:

SCALAR.cpyR in htm: - http://rbduncan.com/scalar.cpyR.htm

Also, SCALAR.cpyR in Word: - http://rbduncan.com/scalar.cpyR.doc

And SCALAR.cpyR in Adobe pdf: - http://rbduncan.com/scalar.cpyR.pdf

February/10/2019 ELECTRON SPIN PRECESSION made SIMPLER & more.

Electron spin Precession in htm: - http://rbduncan.com/espinp.htm

Also, El. spin Precession in Word: - http://rbduncan.com/espinp.doc

And E. spin Precession in Adobe pdf: - http://rbduncan.com/espinp.pdf

January/28/2019 a few TRUE science CONCEPTS will NEVER die.

concepts in htm: - http://rbduncan.com/concepts.htm

Also, concepts in Word: - http://rbduncan.com/concepts.doc

And concepts in Adobe pdf: - http://rbduncan.com/concepts.pdf

Forces in the electrical world are derived from PHASE.

And now we know

ALL Fundamental Forces are derived from PHASE between spin frequencies in both microcosm and

macrocosm (Ampère's Law).

It's <u>simple</u>. Dec. 23, 2018

Fundamental Forces in htm: -

http://rbduncan.com/simple.htm

Also, Fundamental Forces in Word: -

http://rbduncan.com/simple.doc

And Fundamental Forces in Adobe pdf: -

http://rbduncan.com/simple.pdf

Fitzpatrick's 1966 book showed the relative motion laws of A. Ampère unified the forces. Fitz's first book in 1966

Fitz's 1966 book in PDF

October-29-2018 Exactly HOW FIELD THEORIES obscured and HID the FUNDAMENTAL FORCES from us!

HOW the mathematical complexities of FIELD THEORIES obscure & hide both attractive and repulsive FUNDAMENTAL FORCES.

This may be hard to believe. Here it is!

How Field Theories HID the TRUTH: http://rbduncan.com/fieldtheory.html

How Field Theories HID the TRUTH: in Word http://rbduncan.com/fieldtheory.doc

How Field Theories HID the TRUTH in Adobe pdf:

http://rbduncan.com/fieldtheory.pdf

October-3-2018 THREE (3) Important Science CHANGES are COMING!

Albert Einstein, Stephen Hawking and numerable theoretical physicists searched for a **simplification** of this entire complicated universe.

This may be hard to believe. Here it is!

Modern SCIENCE changed in htm: http://rbduncan.com/3beliefs.htm

Modern SCIENCE changed in Word: http://rbduncan.com/3beliefs.doc

Modern SCIENCE changed in Adobe pdf: http://rbduncan.com/3beliefs.pdf

7-10-2018 The **Answer** to the way this <u>entire</u> universe is built — is this one, simple building principle!

Why didn't we see this Answer???

Answer to Everything in htm: http://rbduncan.com/answer.htm

Answer to Everything in Word: http://rbduncan.com/answer.doc

Answer to Everything in Adobe pdf: http://rbduncan.com/answer.pdf

7-7-2018 Why Gyroscopes defy Gravity.

Here's one on July 7th 2018 WHY gyroscopes defy gravity.

gyroscope in html: http://rbduncan.com/gyroscope.html

gyroscope in Word:

http://rbduncan.com/gyroscope.doc

gyroscope in Adobe pdf: http://rbduncan.com/gyroscope.pdf

7-1-2018 By Popular Demand - a SHORT one only on COLORS

Here's one on July 1st 2018 with **ONLY** a most interesting dissertation on EXACTLY how the cones in our eyes see **COLORS**.

COLORS in html:

http://rbduncan.com/colors.html

COLORS in Word:

http://rbduncan.com/colors.doc

COLORS in Adobe pdf:

http://rbduncan.com/colors.pdf

6-12-2018 Here's a Mistake in the Britannica

Here's one on June 12th 2018 telling about a Britannica mistake, but half way through is a most interesting dissertation on how our eyes see **COLORS**.

Britannica Mistake in html: http://rbduncan.com/britannica.html

Britannica Mistake in Word: http://rbduncan.com/britannica.doc

Britannica Mistake in Adobe pdf: http://rbduncan.com/britannica.pdf

5-25-2018 Here's a simple explanation of SPACETIME

Spacetime greatly simplified

Spacetime greatly simplified.pdf

Spacetime greatly simplified.doc

4-11-2018 Michael Crichton wrote *The Andromeda Strain* and *Jurassic Park*, but Crichton's most astounding revelation is in his book *Disclosure*. 4-11-2018

Crichton's most astounding revelation.pdf

Crichton's most astounding revelation.doc

11-25-2017 "**You cannot square a speed**.", said noted scientist Tom Van Flandern. Here's a short mathematical PROOF that Van Flandern's statement is correct.

c.squared.html

c.squared.pdf (in Adobe)

c.squared.doc (in Word)

Error! Filename not specified.

1/3rd. SHORTER **EXPANDING UNIVERSE** 11-23-2017 a **PROOF** that if this universe is expanding, then Inertia will be decreasing because Inertia is a connection to ALL the surrounding stars.

1/3rd. SHORTER EXPANDING UNIVERSE 11-23-2017 - PROOF Inertia will be decreasing in an expanding universe.htm

In Word: <u>1/3rd. SHORTER EXPANDING UNIVERSE</u> 11-23-2017 - <u>PROOF</u> <u>Inertia will be decreasing in an expanding universe.doc</u>

1/3rd. SHORTER **EXPANDING UNIVERSE** also in Adobe.pdf - <u>1/3rd.</u> SHORTER **EXPANDING UNIVERSE** 11-23-2017 - **PROOF** Inertia will be

This **EXPANDING UNIVERSE** 11-20-2017 a **PROOF** that if this universe is expanding, then Inertia will be decreasing because Inertia is a connection to ALL the surrounding stars.

EXPANDING UNIVERSE 11-20-2017 - PROOF Inertia will be decreasing in an expanding universe.htm

In Word: <u>EXPANDING UNIVERSE</u> 11-20-2017 - <u>PROOF Inertia will be decreasing in an expanding universe.doc</u>

This **EXPANDING UNIVERSE** <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>EXPANDING UNIVERSE</u> 11-20-2017 - **PROOF** Inertia will be decreasing in an expanding universe.pdf

VERY LATEST IN SCIENCE: Unlike Gravity, DARK MATTER does <u>not</u> bend light in the same manner.

7-7-2017.A bit of light on DARK MATTER.htm

A bit of light on DARK MATTER: the various DARK MATTER frequencies are far too low to bend light the same way as inertial mass.

In Word: 7-7-2017.A bit of light on DARK MATTER.doc

DARK MATTER <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>7-7-2017.A bit of light on DARK</u> MATTER.pdf

Completing Quantum Theory.htm 1-30-2017

light.on.Everything.htm 1-30-2017

Cause of Energy?.htm 1-29-2017

Is it Field or Quantum Theory?.htm 1-28-2017

Do we have an expanding universe?.htm 1-26-2017

Standing waves are secret to Dark Matter.htm 1-24-2017

The TOP Science rule in our Universe.htm 8-18-2016

Best kept SECRET is the TOP SCIENCE RULE.

In Word: The TOP Science rule in our Universe.doc 8-18-2016

TOP Science Rule is: 8-18-2016 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{TOP\ Science\ Rule\ is:\ 8-18-2016.pdf}$

How the price of GOLD changed in my lifetime. 7-11-2016

Einstein versus Quantum Theory. 1-9-2016

BOTH OF THESE SHOW - for the first time - WHAT A QUANTUM OF STRONG FORCE ENERGY IS.

Einstein versus Quantum Theory. 1-9-2016 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>Einstein versus</u> <u>Quantum Theory. 1-9-2016.pdf</u>

<u>All the Forces are now unified with this new PHASE concept. 1-1-2016</u> This, our most popular e-book, is being read by THOUSANDS every month !!! Yes, it's long but it's easy to understand and there is no math. And here it's FREE!!!

In this crystal clear, easy to read, very important e-book you will see exactly why Einstein's Unified Field Theory will never be accomplished, while right now ALL the forces are actually unified already via a simple PHASE law. All the Forces are now unified with this new PHASE concept. 1-1-2016 also for the FIRST TIME in Adobe.pdf - <u>All the Forces are now unified with this new PHASE concept</u>. 1-1-2016.pdf

Bohr's Complementarity. 12-1-2015

Bohr's Complementarity. 12-1-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>Bohr's</u> <u>Complementarity. 12-1-2015.pdf</u>

Accretion Disks. 11-19-2015

Accretion Disks. 11-19-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>Accretion Disks. 11-19-2015.pdf</u>

The Delft Experiment. 10-24-2015

The Delft Experiment. 10-24-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{The\ Delft\ Experiment.}$ $\underline{10\text{-}24\text{-}2015.pdf}$

Minkowski's light cone -- What it is. 10-16-2015 (We greatly simplify the light cone.)

Minkowski's light cone -- What it is. 10-16-2015 (The light cone greatly

simplified.) <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>Minkowski's light cone -- What it is. 10-16-</u> 2015.pdf

A bit of light on Everything. 10-04-2015 (With white background--can be printed.)

A bit of light on Everything. 10-04-2015 (With white background--can be printed.) also in Adobe.pdf - <u>A bit of light on Everything. 10-04-2015.pdf</u>

A bit of light on Everything. 10-03-2015

A bit of light on Everything. 10-03-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>A bit of light on</u> Everything. 10-03-2015.pdf

Einstein said quantum theory was not complete. 09-17-2015

Einstein said quantum theory was not complete. 09-17-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - *Einstein said quantum theory was not complete. 09-17-2015.pdf*

Dr. Milo Wolff proves electron is a standing wave. 07-26-2015

Dr. Milo Wolff proves electron is a scalar, spinning, standing wave. 06-09-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>Dr. Milo Wolff proves electron is a standing wave. 07-26-2015.pdf</u>

YOU and your money 06-09-2015

YOU and your money 06-09-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>YOU and your money</u> <u>06-09-2015.pdf</u>

Quark Quantum Entanglement is the basis for Inertia, Gravity and atomic forces 05-18-2015

Quark Quantum Entanglement is the basis for Inertia, Gravity and atomic forces 05-18-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>Quark Quantum Entanglement is the basis for Inertia</u>, <u>Gravity and atomic forces 05-18-2015.pdf</u>

gluons & Quantum Entanglement 04-30-2015

gluons & Quantum Entanglement 04-30-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>gluons & Quantum Entanglement.pdf</u>

21 centimeter radiation & Quantum Entanglement 03-27-2015

21 centimeter radiation 03-27-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>21.cm.radiation & Quantum Entanglement.pdf</u>

It's space-time, not simply space. 02-02-2015

It's space-time, not simply space. 02-02-2015 also in Adobe.pdf - space.time.pdf

Why instant starlight from distant stars 01-21-2015

Why instant starlight from distant stars 01-21-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>sp.time.pdf</u>

Force Carrying Particles 01-18-2015

Force Carrying Particles 01-18-2015 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>forcecp.pdf</u>

How and WHY General Relativity & Quantum Theory works. 11-19-2014

How and WHY General Relativity & Quantum Theory works. 11-19-2014 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>whyGR.pdf</u>

Ebola & Europe's banks. 10-17-2014

Ebola & Europe's banks. 10-17-2014 also in Adobe.pdf - ebola.pdf

The Continuum Hypothesis is relevant to our universe too. 9-29-2014

The Continuum Hypothesis is relevant to our universe too. 9-29-2014 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{continuum.pdf}$

Quanta is derived from spacetime. 5-11-2014

Quanta is derived from spacetime. 5-11-2014 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>spacetime.quanta.pdf</u>

"You can't square a speed." noted Astronomer Tom Van Flandern 5-09-2014

"Can't square a speed" Tom Van F. 5-09-2014 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>speed.squared.pdf</u>

SPIN is caused by PHASE. 4-28-2014

Spin is caused by PHASE. 4-28-2014 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - *phase.spin.pdf*

That Missing Boeing 777. 3-29-2014

That Missing Boeing 777. 3-29-2014 also in Adobe.pdf - missing 777.pdf

Phase symmetry makes quantum theory more complete. 12-02-2013

Phase symmetry makes quantum theory more complete. 12-02-2013 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{phase.symmetry.pdf}$

Nature developed superheterodyne before Armstrong. 12-01-2013

Nature developed superheterodyne before Armstrong. 12-01-2013 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - a.better.ending.pdf

Here's why we have Plancks constant. 11-28-2013

Here's why we have Plancks constant. 11-28-2013 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>plancks.constant.pdf</u>

Scientific American disputes supersymmetry. 11-17-2013

Scientific American disputes supersymmetry. 11-17-2013 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{diode.pdf}$

10th chapter of Elaborate Design of our Universe. 7-07-2013

10th Chapter of Elaborate Design of our Universe. 7-07-2013 also in

Adobe.pdf - <u>10th.chapt.pdf</u>

Just back from SHILO battleground. 5-27-2013

Just back from SHILO battleground. 5-27-2013 also in Adobe.pdf - shilo.pdf

New light on the Elaborate Design of this Universe. 5-21-2013

New light on the Elaborate Design of this Universe. 5-21-2013 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{elabdesign.pdf}$

Gravity Waves sought by Andrew Geraci. 5-18-2013

Gravity Waves sought by Andrew Geraci. 5-18-2013 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>Gravity Waves.pdf</u>

Dark Matter -- Dark Energy. 5-04-2013

Dark Matter -- Dark Energy. 5-04-2013 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>DarkMatter.pdf</u>

Electron Spin Enigma. 4-07-2013

Electron Spin Enigma. 4-07-2013 also in Adobe.pdf - enigma.pdf

A new look at DARK MATTER. 4-04-2013

A new look at DARK MATTER. 4-04-2013 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>carl.scheider.pdf</u>

<u>LOGIC doesn't exist unless you know EXACTLY what Space and Time really</u> are. 3-22-2013

LOGIC doesn't exist unless you know EXACTLY what Space and Time really are. 3-22-2013" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>spacetimelogic.pdf</u>

The GOD PARTICLE from CERN. 3-16-2013

"The GOD PARTICLE from CERN. 3-16-2013" also in Adobe.pdf - godp.pdf

A New Extraordinary Kind of Science. 3-08-2013

"A New Extraordinary Kind of Science. 3-08-2013" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{NewEKS.pdf}$

QUARKS may be doing more than we think. + Why Fission, Fusion & the Periodic Table. 2-28-2013

"QUARKS may be doing more than we think. + Why Fission, Fusion & the Periodic Table.2-28-2013" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>dquark.pdf</u>

"QUARK SPIN causes what? 8-20-2012" *quarkspin.htm* also in Adobe.pdf - *quarkspin.pdf*

We know this is a frequency world in the microcosm but is it a frequency universe in the macrocosm as well?

"We know this is a frequency world in the microcosm but is it a frequency universe in the macrocosm as well?" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>die.ordnung.pdf</u>

While Einstein's Unification of the Fields may not be possible, a Unification of the GAUGEShas already taken place giving us a never before seen universe.

"While Einstein's Unification of the Fields may not be possible, a Unification of the GAUGES has already taken place giving us a never before seen universe." also in Adobe.pdf - ssswr.pdf

Sigma Bond strengths in the microcosm.

"Sigma Bond strengths in the microcosm." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - bond.strengths.pdf

While the electron spin causes magnetism, GRAVITY & INERTIA are caused by the QUARK SPIN.

"While the electron spin causes magnetism, GRAVITY & INERTIA are caused by the QUARK SPIN." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>quarkspin.pdf</u>

a look at the MONEY SUPPLY

a look at the money supply" also in Adobe.pdf - kissinger.pdf

45 Years of Putting this Jigsaw Puzzle together - of unifying Gravity with all the other forces

45 Years of Putting this Jigsaw Puzzle together - of unifying Gravity with all the other forces" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>45years.pdf</u>

It's all nothing but RELATIVE MOTION !!!

"Ampere showed us, it's all nothing but RELATIVE MOTION !!!" (science) e-book by Fitzpatrick also in Adobe.pdf - Amp.pdf

The next three books are three of the best books ever written about aviation & war torn Ireland in the 1960s:

<u>"Sean"</u> (How Ireland & England were seen in the 1960s) - e-book by Fitzpatrick (in ordinary html)

"Sean" also in Adobe.pdf - sean.pdf

<u>"Caribbean"</u> - e-book by Fitzpatrick - An airline grows larger. (in ordinary html)

"Caribbean" also in Adobe.pdf - caribbean.pdf

<u>"The Troubles"</u> - e-book by Fitzpatrick - Fact mixed with fiction about the illegal IRA in Ireland. in ordinary html)

"The Troubles" also in Adobe.pdf - troubles.pdf

LIGHTNING & modern AIRLINERS

LIGHTNING & modern AIRLINERS also in Adobe.pdf - ac-light.pdf

PROFILE of Fitzpatrick

"PROFILE of Fitzpatrick" also in Adobe.pdf - <u>DPFJrs.profile.pdf</u>

<u>For thousands of years, latitude has been determined by measuring the angle to the north star from the horizon.</u>

"Determining latitude at sea" also in Adobe.pdf - <u>latitude.at.sea.pdf</u>

"Ampere's Long Wire Law is a fact!"

"Ampere's Long Wire Law is a fact!" also in Adobe.pdf - question.pdf

"ABSTRACT of scalar, standing wave concept"

"ABSTRACT of scalar, standing wave concept" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>abstract.pdf</u>

"Affenstall Science Christmas Message"

"Affenstall Science Christmas Message" also in Adobe.pdf - affenstall.pdf

"A Quark message no one is heeding!"

"An important Quark message no one is heeding!" \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{quarkmspin.pdf}$

"Dan Fitzpatrick comments on Theoretical Physicist Mendel Sachs' Beliefs"

"Dan Fitzpatrick comments on Theoretical Physicist Mendel Sachs' Beliefs" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>answers.to.mendel.pdf</u>

Why we have general relativity or why mass increases with speed.

"Why we have general relativity or why mass increases with speed." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>why.general.relativity.pdf</u>

Fitz answers some Scalar Wave questions.

"Fitz answers some Scalar Wave questions." also in Adobe.pdf - also in Adobe.pdf

http://rbduncan.com/gold1.html

"More wave and scalar wave questions answered by Fitzpatrick" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - http://rbduncan.com/gold1.pdf

Learning radio in high school - written to George

"High School Memories" written to George also in Adobe.pdf - togeorge.pdf

''It's about Chemistry, Ron . . . '' says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

"It's about Chemistry, Ron . . . " says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>chemistry.pdf</u>

<u>Later years - written to Delphine</u>

"Moved to Tennessee & Eifel Tower" - written to Delphine also in Adobe.pdf - delphine.pdf

grinch.htm A true story of the Grinch who stole Christmas - by Fitzpatrick (in html)

A true story of the Grinch who stole Christmas - by Fitzpatrick <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>grinch.pdf</u>

Will Osama bin Laden become another Joan of Arc?

"Will Osama bin Laden become another Joan of Arc?" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>joan-d-arc.pdf</u>

<u>Terrorists are most probably at work destroying America's infastructure right</u> <u>now!</u>

"Terrorists are most probably at work destroying America's infastructure right now!" - by Fitzpatrick <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>bridge.pdf</u>

<u>Lite Flite Manual</u> EASY Computer flying with DVD (html)

"EASY Computer flying with DVD " - by Fitzpatrick <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>start.easy.DVD.computer.flying.pdf</u>

Newton & Einstein only gave us HALF the story.

"And Hubble warned us this was NOT an expanding universe." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>hubbles.warning.pdf</u>

<u>"Relative Motion Law" (It really pertains - not only to electrons but - to all spinning, scalar, standing wave entities.)</u>

"Ampere really gave us this Relative Motion Law in 1825 for things he knew were moving in the wire (electrons)." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>relMlaw.pdf</u>

<u>basic physics problems</u> Fitz talks about some basic problems in physics. (html)

"Fitz talks about some basic problems in physics." - by Fitzpatrick <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - basic.physics.problems.pdf

The <u>four</u> following SUNDIAL items - now FREE here - were formerly advertised in Popular Mechanics and shipped all over the world by Fitzpatrick.

"Make a super accurate garden SUNDIAL" - (a SUNDIAL BOOK written in Microsoft Word - if you have that or Works in your computer)

<u>Use this software to make an "accurate garden SUNDIAL" - (written in Microsoft Excel - if you have that or Works in your computer)</u>

This software is for an ''accurate East-West WALL SUNDIAL'' - (written in Microsoft Excel - if you have that or Works in your computer)

<u>Sun correction table - DAILY - (written in Microsoft Excel - if you have that or Works in your computer)</u>

TO SAVE TIME AND MONEY this chart below is a MUST HAVE pasted on the wall if you work with both inch and metric tools.

<u>Converting Wrench Sizes from Inches to Metric (CHART - in Excel - if you have that or Works in your computer)</u>

FREE !!! e-mail THOUSANDS of ANIMATIONS (for my grandchildren and you)

"1966 while working for Pan American World Airways" by Fitzpatrick

"1966 while working for Pan American World Airways" by Fitzpatrick also

in Adobe.pdf - pan-am.pdf

QUICK version of Ampere's Laws.

QUICK version of Ampere's Laws. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>quick.ver.amperes.laws.pdf</u>

Two magnets will show you more than thousands of books.

Two magnets will show you more than thousands of books. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>two-magnets.pdf</u>

Little Known Facts about Well known science Terms

"Little Known Facts about Well known science Terms" (science) e-book by Fitzpatrick also in Adobe.pdf - <u>ffacts.pdf</u>

It all begins with this all important science law.

"It all begins with this all important science law." \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{law-rm.pdf}$

Why A.G. Lisi's E8 model may show us what our Time and Space really are

"Lisi's E8 model seems to show us why we get space & time!" - by Fitzpatrick also in Adobe.pdf - <u>lisi's-E8-model.pdf</u>

Why A. Garrett Lisi's Model is so important

"Why Garrett Lisi's Model is so important" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{lisi-important.pdf}$

Frank Mackinson showed me the importance of Lisi's e8 model.

Frank Mackinson showed me the importance of Lisi's e8 model. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>frank.mackinson.e8.pdf</u>

A. Garrett Lisi

"What Dr. Milo Wolff says connects with what A. G. Lisi is showing." \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{a.g.lisi.pdf}$

A radioman sees us all as radios.

A radioman sees us all as radios tuned in to this universe. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - tuned.in.pdf

<u>Caroline Thompson - WHEN DID YOU PUBLISH THIS?.</u>

WHEN DID YOU PUBLISH "Out-of-phase waves give us space and repulsive force." Caroline? <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>4apr04caroline.pdf</u>

I only wish that I had thought of this first. But Caroline probably did and told me about it. Caroline may have made one of the most important scientific discoveries ever made: "Space (repulsive forces) is being produced via out of phase resonances." Caroline may have actually been the first person on earth to think of - and publish - this extremely important concept.

But then Caroline - from Cambridge - repudiated what she had discovered: one of the most important scientific discoveries EVER MADE! Incredible! Simply Incredible! also in Adobe.pdf - Carolines.pdf

"Why we have GRAVITY."

"Why we have GRAVITY." also in Adobe.pdf - why.we.have.gravity.pdf

"Speed of Gravity is 9x1016 meters per second."

"Speed of Gravity is 9x1016 meters per second." also in Adobe.pdf -

Gspeed.pdf

Einstein's Principle of Equivalence or why gravity acts like acceleration.

"Einstein's Principle of Equivalence or why gravity acts like acceleration." also in Adobe.pdf - principle.of.equivalence.pdf

<u>Is Saul Perlmutter explaining the reason for us having the principle of equivalence?</u>

Is Saul Perlmutter explaining the reason for us having the principle of equivalence? <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>saul.perlmutter. explaining.pdf</u>

QED - Feynman's Strange Theory of Light and Matter

"Feynman's Strange Theory of Light and Matter" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>feynmans-QED.pdf</u>

Understanding the Binding Energy Curve

''It's understanding the Binding Energy Curve'' says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. also in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{b.e.curve.pdf}$

Energy cannot be lost. It can only be shifted via binding energy.

"All energy is a form of binding energy." (science) e-letter by Fitzpatrick also in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{oct1a06.pdf}$

Shedding light on Energy Quanta

"Shedding light on Energy Quanta" also in Adobe.pdf - letter july2003.pdf

"The Complete Binding Energy Model"

"The Complete Binding Energy Model" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>binding-energy-model.pdf</u>

TOP SPOT in Google

TOP SPOT in Google also in Adobe.pdf - top.spot.pdf

Phase Coherence and the Inverse Square law

Phase Coherence and the Inverse Square law \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{phase-coherence.pdf}$

<u>"Fitz finds a FACT": Phase Coherence is the reason for the binding attractive</u> force in ALL the 4 fundamental forces

"Fitz finds a FACT": Phase Coherence is the reason for the binding attractive force in ALL the 4 fundamental forces <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>fitz.finds.pdf</u>

to Brian: "Phase Coherence being the cause of attraction in ALL the fundamental forces is of supreme importance, Brian."

"to Brian: Phase Coherence is the reason for the binding attractive force in ALL the 4 fundamental forces." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>to-brian-milo.wolff.pdf</u>

Click this to see WHY this is a phase universe of quantum sized pieces.

Click the following pdf link to see WHY this is a phase universe.<u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>phase-universe.pdf</u>

<u>"Scalar phase relationship"</u>

"Scalar phase relationship" also in Adobe.pdf - intv.pdf

Wave and Scalar Wave facts

"Wave and Scalar Wave facts" also in Adobe.pdf - w&scalar.wave.facts.pdf

Schrödinger's Universe

Schrödinger's Universe also in Adobe.pdf - schrod.pdf

Extra - Extra Short Theory of Everything:

Extra - Extra Short ToE also in Adobe.pdf - exexshorttoe.pdf

"Short Theory of Everything" by Fitzpatrick

"Short Theory of Everything" - by Fitzpatrick also in Adobe.pdf - shrttoe.pdf

<u>TOEbyFitzpatrick.htm</u> A "Theory of Everything" by Daniel P. Fitzpatrick Jr. (in html)

"Theory of Everything" also in Adobe.pdf - toe.by.fitzpatrick.pdf

<u>Universities Asleep at the Switch</u> is a quantum physics e-book (in ordinary html)

Universities Asleep at the Switch <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - http://www.rbduncan.com/ua_20071020_ck_ds_jm_ds.pdf

<u>Fixing the Gauge is mandatory BEFORE you do any math. The majority of scientists don't understand this!</u>

Fixing the Gauge means LIMITING the extent of that particular space-time realm!. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>you.cannot.quantize.without.fixing.the.gauge.pdf</u>

Fitzpatrick's USR Laws

Fitzpatrick's USR Laws. also in Adobe.pdf - Fitzpatrick's. USR. Laws.pdf

Do we now have a Relative Motion type "Theory of Everything"?

Do we now have a Relative Motion type "Theory of Everything"? <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>maylttr.pdf</u>

"The Invisible Forces" - - an e-book by Fitzpatrick

"The Invisible Forces" also in Adobe.pdf - invisible-forces.pdf

Mathematical physicist Tony Bermanseder says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. is absolutely right about a "beta decay" Big Bang.

"Beta Decay BIG BANG" also in Adobe.pdf - big-bang.pdf

"A New Science Tool" (science) e-book by Fitzpatrick

"A New Science Tool" (science) e-book by Fitzpatrick \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{mybook.pdf}$

"CAMBRIDGE Theory of Everything" (science) e-book by Fitzpatrick

"CAMBRIDGE Theory of Everything" also in Adobe.pdf - cambridge.toe.pdf

Ampere's Universal Particle/Motion Law

"Ampere's Universal Particle/Motion Law" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>ampere.pdf</u>

"Too many spacetime realms for a mathematical Theory of Everything" says
Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

"Too many spacetime realms for a <u>mathematical</u> Theory of Everything" says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>too.many.spacetime.realms.pdf</u>

"What is a Wave Front?" By Fitz posted all over the net via healththyself.

"What is a Wave Front?" (science) e-letter by Fitzpatrick posted everywhere. also in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{wf.pdf}$

Sigma and Pi Chemifcal Bonding Forces similar to Magnetism?

"Sigma and Pi Chemifcal Bonding Forces similar to Magnetism?" (science) e-book by Fitzpatrick <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>sigma.pi.pdf</u>

Dr. Milo Wolff & Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. talk.

"Dr. Milo Wolff & Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. talk." also in Adobe.pdf - milo.pdf

Dr. Milo Wolff's Wave Structure of Matter

"Dr. Milo Wolff's Wave Structure of Matter" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>lets-look-at.milo.wolffs.wave.structure.of.matter.pdf</u>

Dr. Milo Wolff answers some Scalar Wave questions asked by Fitzpatrick

"Dr. Milo Wolff answers some Scalar Wave questions asked by Fitzpatrick" (science) e-letter by Fitzpatrick also in Adobe.pdf

Understanding Dr. Milo Wolff's Scalar Standing Wave.

"Understanding Dr. Milo Wolff's Scalar Standing Wave." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>milo.wolffs-scalar.wave.pdf</u>

I asked Dr. Milo Wolff . . .

"And I agree with Milo about this too" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>Yes, Milo, Mach's</u> <u>principle is indeed awesome!.pdf</u>

Wolff-Einstein model

"The Milo Wolff - Albert Einstein model of the universe." \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{wolffeinstein.pdf}$

Dr. Milo Wolff's Wavelength Density formula

"Dr. Milo Wolff's Wavelength Density formula" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{wave.density.formula.pdf}$

<u>Talking to Dr. Milo Wolff about the "Wave Structure of Matter" - - by</u> <u>Fitzpatrick</u>

Talking to Dr. Milo Wolff about the "Wave Structure of Matter" - - by Fitzpatrick <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>frfmw.pdf</u>

"Milo Wolff said & I agree . . . "

"Milo Wolff said & I agree . . . " <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>mws.pdf</u>

"Milo Wolff sees the Einstein picture."

"Milo Wolff sees the Einstein picture." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>einstein-milo.wolff.pdf</u>

"Milo Wolff & W. Gilmour discuss wave density"

"Milo Wolff & W. Gilmour discuss wave density" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - \underline{wolff} - $\underline{gilmour.pdf}$

"Milo Wolff e-mail"

"Milo Wolff e-mail" also in Adobe.pdf - mwemail.pdf

One - Two -- Three --- General Relativity

"One - Two -- Three --- General Relativity" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>1.2.3.general.relativity.pdf</u>

Understanding the Standing Wave aspect of Space & Time

"Understanding the Standing Wave aspect of Space & Time" (science) e-letter by Fitzpatrick \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{stwaveaspect.pdf}$

Euclidean geometric motion used by Newton

"Euclidean geometric motion used by Newton" (science) e-book by Fitzpatrick <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>motion.pdf</u>

Comprehending Spin and Isospin

"Understanding Spin and Isospin." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>isospin.pdf</u>

Why the Strong force acts in this manner...

"Yes, the Strong force acts in this manner . . . " says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{strF.pdf}$

"A NEW Cosmology . . . " says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

"A NEW Cosmology . . . " says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>fitzcos.pdf</u>

Why we fail to see spin conservation in the quark realm (QCD)

"Quark spin is not conserved? - - Whoops!" also in Adobe.pdf - quarkspin.pdf

Why NASA tells us we have 72% Dark Energy, 23% Dark Matter and 4.6% Atoms.

"Why NASA tells us we have 72% Dark Energy, 23% Dark Matter and 4.6% Atoms" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>dark-energy.pdf</u>

From where do we get the quantity c squared?

"From where do we get the quantity c squared?" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - \underline{c} - $\underline{squared.pdf}$

Mass to Charge ratio

"Mass to Charge ratio" also in Adobe.pdf - me.pdf

Unified Field Laws (in Germany known as the aufbau laws)

"Unified Field Laws or German Aufbau laws" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>aufbaulws.pdf</u>

Universal Quantum Coupling concept

"Universal Quantum Coupling" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>fuqcc.pdf</u>

Resonances or Translational Motion

"You must be aware of the translational motion in all of this." \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{sue.pdf}$

Dan Fitzpatrick & mathematical physicist Tony Bermanseder

"More from Tony Bermanseder about quarks." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>Bermanseder on quarks.pdf</u>

Time Dilation

"Time Dilation." also in Adobe.pdf - timeDL.pdf

Quantum theory vs General Relativity. It's the Complementarity Principle!

"Quantum theory vs General Relativity" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - letter_dec2003.pdf

Einstein's Cosmological constant -- repulsive force

"Einstein's Cosmological constant" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>einsteins-cosmological.constant.pdf</u>

Why the quarks have assymptotic freedom.

"Why the quarks have assymptotic freedom." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>assymptotic.freedom.constant.pdf</u>

Accelerating, expanding universe

"Accelerating, expanding universe" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>accelerating.expanding.universe.pdf</u>

Not Quite Everything for a Theory of Everything

"Not Quite Everything for a Theory of Everything" \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{not.quite.pdf}$

Shades of Einstein & Fred Hoyle

"Shades of Einstein & Fred Hoyle" also in Adobe.pdf - einstein.hoyle.pdf

Einstein's Biggest Blunder -- Wasn't?

"Einstein's Biggest Blunder -- Wasn't?" \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{einsteins.blunder.pdf}$

Bose Einstein Condensate is proof this is a frequency universe.

"Bose Einstein Condensate is proof this is a frequency universe." <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>bose.einstein.pdf</u>

<u>Electrons normally repel BUT when they attract other electrons, it's always this way...</u>

"Electrons normally repel BUT . . . " says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{plawrm.pdf}$

Vector - Scalar relationship between Force, Space and Time

"Vector - Scalar relationship between Force, Space and Time" \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{vs\text{-}rel.pdf}$

CAUTION using the DeBroglie wavelength formula

"CAUTION using the DeBroglie wavelength formula!" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>deBroglie-wavelength-formula.pdf</u>

Why do we have 3D Space-Time?

"Why do we have 3D Space-Time?" also in Adobe.pdf - why-3D-space.time.pdf

A Simple Program

"A Simple Program" also in Adobe.pdf - pnks.pdf

Steven Wolfram wants a reasonable notion of Space and Time

"Steven Wolfram wants a reasonable notion of Space and Time" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>nks.pdf</u>

Determining mass via Scattering

"Determining mass via Scattering" also in Adobe.pdf - quark.mass.pdf

REPLY to Dave Bacon in "The Quantum Pontif"

REPLY to Dave Bacon in "The Quantum Pontif" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>dbakn.pdf</u>

<u>ELECTRONS</u> are fermions but not when paired spin up-spin down so this pair acts like a boson.

ELECTRONS are fermions but not when paired spin up-spin down' <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>fermion-boson.pdf</u>

Consider this possibility of Time & Space

"Consider this possibility of Time & Space" also in Adobe.pdf - time-space.pdf

OLD web site statistics

"OLD web site statistics" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>old.web.site.statistics.pdf</u>

We are tuned into this universe like a superheterodyne radio.

"We are tuned into this universe like a superheterodyne radio." \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{tuned.into.this\ universe.pdf}$

The "A" Laws

"The "A" Laws" also in Adobe.pdf - the-A-laws.pdf

R.T. Cahill's Quantum Foam theory

"Cahill's Quantum Foam theory" also in Adobe.pdf - cahill.pdf

The importance of SCALAR waves

"The importance of SCALAR waves" \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{importance.of.scalar.waves.pdf}$

Aufbau Laws

"Aufbau Laws" also in Adobe.pdf - aufbaulaws.pdf

Fitzpatrick's letter to Charles Scott

"Fitzpatrick's letter to Charles Scott" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>letter.to.charles.scott.pdf</u>

"Lost in Translation" by Fitzpatrick

"Lost in Translation" by Fitzpatrick also in Adobe.pdf - lost-in-trans.pdf

Limits to Logic

"Limits to Logic" also in Adobe.pdf - limits.to.logic.pdf

More of Fitzpatrick's letters

"More of Fitzpatrick's letters" also in Adobe.pdf - more.pdf

3D plus Time - Caveat! by Fitzpatrick

"3D plus Time - Caveat!" by Fitzpatrick also in Adobe.pdf - 3d-caveat.pdf

<u>photon</u>

"Photon" by Fitzpatrick also in Adobe.pdf - photon.pdf

<u>phton</u>

Click the following pdf link to see what a PHOTON really is: <u>Now</u> in Adobe.pdf - *phton.pdf*

"Relative Motion Theory" by Fitzpatrick

"Relative Motion Theory" by Fitzpatrick <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{relative-motion-theory.pdf}$

Einstein's most important Discovery

Einstein's most important Discovery <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>einstein-important.pdf</u>

Fitzpatrick's view

"Fitzpatrick's view" also in Adobe.pdf - fview.pdf

Expanding Universe Religion

Expanding Universe Religion" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>expand.pdf</u>

Houtman's findings

Houtman's findings <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>houtman.pdf</u>

An Aether Universe?

This universe is an Aether Universe that can be quantized into a phase universe of quanta chunks of Aether. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>an-aether-universe.pdf</u>

http://www.rbduncan.com/scalarwu.htm

"It's a Scalar, Standing Wave Universe!" <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>scalarwu.pdf</u>

http://www.rbduncan.com/swright.htm

"Stephen Wolfram is absolutely RIGHT and Freeman Dyson is WRONG!" also in Adobe.pdf - swright.pdf

"I was somewhat wrong on this one . . . " says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

"I was somewhat wrong on this one . . . " says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{wrong.pdf}$

"Our natural laws" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

"Our natural laws" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{our.natural.laws.pdf}$

"Shedding light on energy quanta" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

"Shedding light on energy quanta " - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{our.letterjun2003.pdf}$

''Standard Model concept of the particle'' - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

 $\hbox{''Standard Model concept of the particle'' - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. \underline{also}\ in}\ Adobe.pdf - \underline{\mathit{Standard.Model.concept.of.the.particle.pdf}}$

"Quantum mechanics-General.Relativity&Entanglement" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

"Our natural laws" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>Quantum</u> <u>mechanics-General.Relativity&Entanglement.pdf</u>

"Itzhak Bars is correct!" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

"Itzhak Bars is correct!" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>Itzhak.Bars.is.correct.pdf</u>

<u>"electron and its spin are both separate scalar, standing wave frequencies" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.</u>

"electron and its spin are both separate scalar, standing wave frequencies" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. also in Adobe.pdf - electron.and.spin.are both.scalar.pdf

"This universe is a quantum computer!" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

"This universe is a quantum computer!" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>quantumcomputer.pdf</u>

"Some last minute changes (Universities Asleep at the Switch) always have to be made to books" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

Changes to "Universities Asleep at the Switch" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{changes.pdf}$

Look what I had to show to a Harvard graduate after he changed my "Sean" manuscript - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

Look how many "back to the original manucript" changes - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>changes.to.sean.pdf</u>

I thanked brannenworks for this concept!.

I thanked brannenworks for this important concept! <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>brannenworks.thanks.pdf</u>

"page 2 of 2 pages" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

"page 2 of two pages" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>page2.of.2.pages.pdf</u>

"Page 8" of 21 pages - by Fitzpatrick

"page 8 of 21 pages" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>page8.of.21.pages.pdf</u>

"PAGE 5" of 15 pages - by Fitzpatrick

"page 5 of 15 pages" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>page5.of.15.pages.pdf</u>

"Page 16" of 21 pages - by Fitzpatrick

"page 16 of 21 pages" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>page16.of.21.pages.pdf</u>

"Page 7" of 7 pages- by Fitzpatrick

"page 7 of 7 pages" - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. also in Adobe.pdf - page7.of.7.pages.pdf

why it's space-time

"Why it's space-time and not space or time separately." - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{interval5.pdf}$

a new unification concept

"A new unification concept." - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. \underline{also} in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{CONCEPT.pdf}$

To the TOE group about Saul Perlmutter's (Einstein's) Cosmological Constant

To the Theory of everything Group - "Einstein's Coswmological Constant." - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>togroup.pdf</u>

$c \& c^2$ are scalar, standing wave PERIODS!

"c & c² are scalar, standing wave PERIODS!" 9/13/2004 - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. also in Adobe.pdf - 9-13-2004.pdf

Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen (EPR) 3/4/2004

"Einstein, Podolsky, Rosen (EPR)" 3/4/2004 - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr. <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{3-4-2004.pdf}$

<u>"My life in Miami, Milo"</u>

''My life in Miami, Milo'' - Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.
 <u>also</u> in Adobe.pdf - $\underline{my.life.milo.pdf}$

"Best e-mail sources in 2004"

"Best e-mail sources in 2004" also in Adobe.pdf - email-2004-sources.pdf

"Started my flying in a piper cub."

"Started my flying in a piper cub." also in Adobe.pdf - mystart.pdf

"it causes time."

"it causes time." also in Adobe.pdf - cause.of.time.pdf

"In the quantum world, frequency and energy end up the same, Caroline."

"In the quantum world, frequency and energy end up the same, Caroline." also in Adobe.pdf - frequency.and.energy.end up.the.same.caroline.pdf

<u>Dr. Sol Eisenberg's analysis gives us a STEADY-STATE Universe BUT in it Einstein's cosmological constant force equals the PERCEIVED accelerated space.</u>

STEADY STATE <u>now</u>: Einstein's cosmological constant force equals <u>accelerated</u> space. <u>now</u> in Adobe.pdf - <u>sol's new type steady state universe.pdf</u>

http://www.rbduncan.com/binary.htm Binary Stars act exactly like Electrons.

http://rbduncan.com/boson+.htm Bosons?

<u>http://www.rbduncan.com/letter_june2004.htm</u> Newton and Einstein only gave us HALF the story.

<u>http://rbduncan.com/Gspeed.htm</u> "Speed of Gravity is 9x10¹⁶ meters per second."

<u>http://rbduncan.com/plawrm.htm</u> "Electrons normally repel BUT . . . " says Dan Fitzpatrick Jr.

http://www.rbduncan.com/xxxSEAN.htm

"xzx Sean"	(Original	with all	the sex	still in	it.) - by	Fitzpatrick	also in
Adobe.pdf -	xzx.sean.p	odf					

Basic geometric spin properties

Introduction to the "A" Laws

Why we have Quantum Numbers

Simple phase motor

Sol Eisenberg gives us a solution

To whom it may concern

Free Energy?

"Out of phase and IN phase resonances by Fitzpatrick

Dr. Milo Wolff and Fitzpatrick

"Quarks" by Fitzpatrick

Quantum Relativity by Tony Bermanseder

"PAGE 4 of 15 pages - by Fitzpatrick

"Page 4" of 21 pages - by Fitzpatrick

 $Why E = mc^2$

Christian Huygens & LIGHT

720 degree Quantum Wave Rotation

consider it to be a boson

M theory

2005 facts

Annimation work shop

wrote to latif about his book

quantum.gravity

S.S.Twahrheit

Can space exist without time?

fitz & tony bermanseder 2007

Czech ''Ampere's Laws''

Danish ''A'' Laws

Dutch ''A'' Laws

"Ampere's Laws" in Finnish by Fitzpatrick

French "A" Laws

German "A" Laws

Greek "A" Laws

Italian ''A'' Laws

Nowegian "A" Laws

Polish "A" Laws

Russian ''A'' Laws

Spanish ''A'' Laws

Swedish "A" Laws

http://www.rbduncan.com/astrampfitz.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/toe.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/mbooks.html

http://www.rbduncan.com/BOOKS.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/nks1.htm

Google is censoring in China but . . .

http://www.rbduncan.com/interval.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/interval2.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/interval3.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/speed.of.light.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/TB.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/ampere.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/sola.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/solai.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/why

http://www.rbduncan.com/gr&qm&e

http://www.rbduncan.com/letterjuly2004

http://www.rbduncan.com/a15.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/theALaws.htm

http://www.rbduncan.com/08elec.htm

Here's some you can read if you ever get to Washington, DC

Registered in the Copyright Office:

Sean Effective Registration Date: 5-31-1996 TXu 742-876

The Everything Principle 7-10-1996 TXu 749-852

Fitzpatrick's HOE 5-15-1997 TXu 795-371 HARMONIC Theory of Everything Written for my friend Dr. Peter J. Wojtowicz a half century later after he taught me how to play chess in 1947.

T.O.E. Theory of Everything 9-02-1997 TXu 815-035

Caribbean 9-29-1997 TXu 817-016

ToE Theory of Everything 11-24-1997 TX4-674-587

A Concise Theory of Everything 11-04-1998 TX4-886-754

A Cambridge Theory of Everything 1-12-1999 TX4-915-412

A Simple Theory of Everything 8-28-2000 TX5-258-046

How the rbduncan web page has grown over the years:

Original web page: September 2001.

June 1, 2002.

June 2, 2002.

June 6, 2002.

June 7, 2002.

June 8, 2002.

June 21, 2002.

June 24, 2002.

July 10, 2002.

July 19, 2002.

August 24, 2002.

September 26, 2002.

October 10, 2002.

December 20, 2002.

March 3, 2003.

June 8, 2003.

September 19, 2003.

January 25, 2004.

April 17, 2004.

August 8, 2004.

March 15, 2005.

September-3, 2005.

October 7, 2006.

November 10, 2006.

February 8, 2007.

Present Web Page.

World Scientist Database - - Daniel P. Fitzpatrick Jr.